Optimization of DNA concentration to amplify short tandem repeats of human genomic DNA

Fahri Q. Gavazaj^{1*}, Ilia I. Mikerezi², Valon H. Morina³, Fatmir A. Cakaj¹, Ekrem B. Maloku¹, Bahrije B. Gavazaj⁴, Dhurata S. Kastrati⁴, Besa A. Duriqi-Maloku⁵

¹ University of Medical Science "REZONANCA", Glloku te Shelgjet, p.n, 10000, Prishtinë, Kosovo. ² Tirana University, Faculty of Natural Science, Department of Biology, Bulevardi Zogu i Parë, p.n. 1001, Tirana, Albania. ³ University of Prishtina, Faculty of Geoscience and Technology, Rr. "Nëna Terezë", p.n. 10000, Prishtinë, Kosovo. ⁴ University of Pristhina, Faculty of Natural Science, Rr. "Nëna Terezë", p.n. 10000, Prishtinë, Kosovo. ⁵ University of Prishtina, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pharmacy, p.n. 10000, Prishtinë, Kosovo.

Abstract

Analysis of the length polymorphisms of short tandem repeats (STR) loci in the human genome has become a standard approach for comparative genotyping in many areas including disease research and diagnostics, parentage assessment, investigations of human diversity, and forensic science. The purpose of this study is to optimize the DNA concentration in ng/10µL for amplification of DNA markers. AmpFISTR Identifiler Kit is used to amplify STR markers and capillary electrophoresis is used to analyze DNA profile of human the genome. Two sets of samples with following DNA concentration: 100 pg – 6 ng/25 µL were used for this study. There was no DNA profile detected in samples with concentrations 100 pg - 300 pg/ 25 µL (pictogram), while in some cases partial DNA profile was yielded. On the other hand samples with 0.4 ng – 4 ng/ 25 µL, yielded a full DNA profile. We were not able to obtain any profile using concentrations over 4 ng/ 25 µL. Improvements in detection limits/sensitivity at upper and lower DNA concentrations are of potential benefits to amplify STR of Human Genomic in order to obtain a full DNA profile. The optimal DNA concentrations which produced reliable and balanced peaks, no off scale peaks and full DNA profile for all loci were at range 0.4 ng – 3 ng/ 25 µL.

KEY WORDS: polymorphisms, STR, amplification, PCR, DNA profile.

INTRODUCTION

Eukaryotic chromosomal DNA, polymorphic short tandem repeat (STR) loci are key tools for: rapid gene discovery, disease locus mapping and carrier diagnosis of disease states, linkage analyses, agricultural genetics, parentage assessment, and population diversity studies. The ability to detect genetic differences between individuals increases when DNA typing information at multiple polymorphic STR loci is combined [1, 2]. Clinical diagnostic laboratories usually perform analyses of biological samples that have been collected and stored in ideal conditions, making sample quality and quantity rarely an issue. Those ideal conditions are usually not met in the DNA typing analysis of forensic biological evidence, as there is no control over the amount of biological material left at a crime scene or its level of degradation or contamination due to exposure to various en-

* Corresponding author: Fahri Gavazaj, University of Medical Science "REZONANCA", Glloku te Shelgjet, p.n, 10000, Prishtinë, Kosovo Tel: +377 44 146 165; Fax: +381 38 550 621 e-mail: fgavazaj@yahoo.com

Submitted: 3 August 2012 / Accepted: 30 October 2012

vironmental insults [3, 4]. Forensic biological samples may present challenges for DNA typing analysis, even with the utmost care throughout crime scene evidence recovery and storage. Due to potential exposure to a virtually unlimited number of uncontrolled variables, forensic casework specimens may result in particularly challenging polymerase chain reaction (PCR) templates. Optimized concentration of DNA and reagents is very important on PCR amplification of different combinations of 15 polymorphic tetranucleotide STR loci in a single reaction tube. This study has done to check the upper and lower limits of DNA concentration used in amplification step to obtain full DNA profiles [5].

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Samples

Our study was performed using human blood as biological material. Before analysis the blood sample has dried on filter paper. The size of the samples used for extraction were 3x3 mm² and Chelex-100 resin is used as extraction method [6].

Procedures

For quantification purposes Absolute Quantitation method

is used, real-time quantitative PCR assay with a fluorogenic TaqMan[®] probe targeting the human telomerase reverse transcriptase gene (hTERT) and supported by Quantifiler[®] Human DNA Quantification Kit on Instrument ABI PRISM® 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Bioystems-AB, P/N 4330087 with SDS Software v1.0) [7, 8]. For amplification purposes we introduced Amp-FlSTR[®] Identifiler[®] PCR Amplification Kit (AB P/N 4322288) [5]. The following concentrations $(ng/10 \ \mu L)$ of template DNA were amplified in a total reaction volume of 25 µl: 0.1, 0.2, 0.3.....up to 6 ng. Samples were amplified in 0.2-ml microAmp reaction tubes with caps (AB P/N N801-0540) using the following conditions (recommended by the manufacturer, Applied Biosystems): 95°C for 11 min, 28 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 59°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min, 60°C extension for 60 min and 2-8°C until samples were



FIGURE 1. Presents electropherogram of sample with No DNA Profile analyzed with AmpFISTR Identifiler Kit. There is no any allele obtained in total of 16 human genome loci analyzed.



FIGURE 2. Presents electropherogram of samples with Partial DNA Profile analyzed with AmpFISTR Identifiler Kit. There are three alleles obtained in total of 16 human genome loci analyzed.

analyzed further. The amplification was carried out on GeneAmp PCR System 9700 (AB P/N N805-0001) [5], [1]. The amplified DNA markers were: D8S1179, D21S11, D7S820, CSF1P0, D3S1358, TH01, D13S317, D16S539, D2S1338, D19S433, vWA, TPOX, D18S51, Amelogenin, D5S818 and FGA [5], [1]. Finally detection of amplified samples was done using 1.5 μ l of amplified DNA product that was combined with aliquot 25 μ L of the Hi-Di[®] Formamide (AB P/N 4311320) mixed with GeneScan[®] 500 LIZ[®] Size Standard (AB P/N 4322682). Capillary electrophoresis was carried out using an automated DNA sequencer ABI PRISM[®] 310 Genetic Analyzer, AB P/N 310-00-200/240-W. The raw data were analyzed using GeneMapper[®] ID Software v3.2. The minimum peak height threshold was set at 50 RFU [9, 10].

RESULTS

Samples with DNA concentration at 0.1 and 0.2 ng/25 µL yielded no DNA profile (Figure 1). No DNA profile was obtained from samples with 0.3 ng/25µL, however in this case some loci were included (Figure 2). Baseline had noisy and allelic imbalance as well. Samples with DNA concentration from 0.4 - 3 ng/25µL yielded full DNA profiles (Figure 3). There were no any allelic imbalance, stutter or any other artifacts except for the sample concentration at 3 ng/25µL. Samples with concentrations 2.7 and 3 ng/25µL exhibit decrease of Relative Fluorescence Units (RFU) starting from shorter loci toward longer ones for all panels. The loci with lower RFU were D7S8210, CSF1PO, D2S1338, D18S51 and FGA [11].



FIGURE 3. Presents electropherogram of samples with Full DNA Profile analyzed with AmpFISTR Identifiler Kit. There are all alleles obtained in total of 16 human genome loci analyzed.



FIGURE 4. Presents electropherogram of samples with Full DNA Profile analyzed with AmpFISTR Identifiler Kit. There are all alleles obtained in total of 16 human genome loci analyzed. At some alleles there are some imbalance peaks.

DNA concentration between 3 - 4 ng/25 μ L yielded full DNA profiles but there were allelic imbalance peaks and significant difference on RFU in heterozygote peak height ratios between the shorter and longer loci (Figure 4).

TABLE 1. Represents DNA profile yielded on different DNA concentrations

	DNA Profile		
	No DNA Profile	Full DNA Profile	Full DNA Profile with allelic imbal- ance peaks
Samples with different DNA Concentrations	100, 200, 300 pg /25 μL and over 4 ng/10 μL	0.4 - 3 ng/25 μL	3 - 4 ng/25 μL

238

For shorter loci RFU was over 1500 to 3500, whereas for longer ones were under 500. Despite these results, DNA profile obtained from above samples can be used officially as DNA Profile at System of Justice. No DNA profile was yielded in samples over 4 ng/25µL (Figure 1). Some loci were obtained, but just few of them (below 5) so they did not represent any relevance in DNA profile. To be valid the DNA profile must have at least 10 loci obtained. Those profiles with number of loci below 10 are unavailable to be used for any purpose [1], [9]. The referent samples yielded a full DNA profile. The best DNA profile was obtained from samples with DNA concentration at 0.5 - 1.8 ng/25 µL. Reliable DNA profiles were obtained from samples with 0.4, 0.5 and 2 - 4ng/25 µL as well (Table1.).

DISCUSSION

A variety of commercial Kits for DNA amplification are now available

and they routinely are used in forensic and diagnostic laboratories worldwide. Although generally reliable and convenient, many of these Kits offer only a relatively limited dynamic range for upper and lower limits, so that in many cases samples from the crime scene, reference samples and clinical samples frequently have to be diluted or concentrated and retested in order to avoid exceeding the upper or lower limits. At the same time analyzes is performed by using real-time PCR which is time and money consuming. The advantage of the current study is the fact that we found that upper and lower limits beyond of what is actually suggested by companies. This method increases the opportunity to amplify DNA directly from extraction step into amplification one without any trouble toward result interpretation. DNA yielded profile from an amount up to 4 ng/25 μ L (which is a double amount suggested by protocols), crucial due to the fact that is easy to determine that approximate amount in our sample size during the extraction step. This decreases a workflow of our analysis which in this case will consist of extraction, amplification and detection without quantification step. This workflow would not have any interference in terms of detecting sensitivity of DNA. In addition, this method can serve as a great tool to help laboratories in obtaining reliable DNA profiles by saving time and money. Leclair et al. have studied lower and upper limits for DNA concentrations and total volume used for DNA amplification. In this study the best results were yielded using 0.5 ng of DNA in a 10-µL PCR reaction volume which appeared to produce an identical profile to 2 ng of the same DNA in a 40-µL reaction volume [4]. Company Applied Biosystems which has performed a validation of the AmpFlSTR Identifiler Kit, suggested using an amount of DNA concentration in the range of 0.5 - 2 ng/25 μ L [5].

CONCLUSIONS

AmpFlSTR Identifiler, the PCR Amplification Kit used to amplify STR markers of analyzed samples exhibited to be very stable. Primers of this Kit were sensitive and very specific for DNA markers as mentioned above. Even if AmpFl-STR Identifiler PCR Amplification Kit - User manual suggests using DNA concentration 0.5 - 1.2 ng/25 µL (lower and upper limits) [5], and National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) suggests $0.5 - 2 \text{ ng}/25 \mu \text{L}$ as the best range of STR Kits [1]. We have obtained full DNA profile with a lower concentration of 0.4 ng/25 μ L up to 4 ng/25 µL for the upper one. Other reagents of this Kit: AmpFlSTR[®] PCR Reaction Mix, AmpliTaq Gold[®] DNA Polymerase and AmpFlSTR[®] Identifiler[™] Allelic Ladder used on 15µL as a master mix shown to be enough for amplification of samples with concentration 4 ng/25µL without any problem for inhibition by the template. Performing the step of DNA quantification on RT-PCR is time consuming and cost effective. We found that the best and reliable results are yielded beyond the limits suggested by different companies. We

obtained reliable DNA profile from concentration up to 4 ng per sample analyzed. Using those results, the laboratories can make validation of methods in different applications such as: molecular biology, genetics, biomedicine etc to analyze samples directly from extraction to amplification and by skipping quantification step in RT-PCR. By applying such approach it could be possible to save time and money.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank you Nancy Whitney Peterson (Forensic Biology/DNA Consultants, LLC, USA) for constructive criticism and suggestions in the preparation of the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

- [1] Buttler MJ. Advanced Topics in Forensic DNA Typing: Methodology. Elsevier Publishers; 2011.
- [2] Wallin JM, Holt CL, Lazaruk KD, Nguyen TH, Walsh PS. Constructing Universal Multiplex PCR Systems for Comparative Genotyping. Journal of Forensic Sciences 2002;47(1):52-65.
- [3] Buckleton J., Triggs C., Walsh S. J. Forensic DNA Evidence Interpretation, CRC Press, London, 2005, pp. 12-73.
- [4] Leclair B, Sgueglia JB, Wojtowicz PC, Juston AC, Frégeau, CHJ, Fourney RM. STR DNA Typing: Increased Sensitivity and Efficient Sample Consumption Using Reduced PCR Reaction Volumes. Journal of Forensic Sciences 2003; 48 (5): 1-13.
- [5] Biosystems A. AmpFeSTR* Identifiler*, PCR Amplification Kit. User's Manual. USA, Applied Biosystems, 2006.
- [6] President's DNA Initiative: DNA Analyst Training-Laboratory Training Manual User Guide, Protocols, 2006: Isolation of DNA from Non-Semen Stains; Section 3.03: 1-3.
- Biosystems A. Quantifiler* Human DNA Quantification Kit. User's Manual. USA, Applied Biosystems, 2006.
- [8] Thompson J, Kibler J, Kupferschmid T. Comparing Five Forensic DNA Extraction Methods. Sorenson Forensics, Salt Lake City, UT 84115, Poster presentation, 2009: 1.
- [9] Goodwin W, Linacre A, Hadi S. An Introduction to Forensic Genetics, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons Publishers, Chichester, 2011, pp. 27-73.
- [10] Biosystems A. ABI Prism 310 Genetic Analyzer, User's Manual. USA, Applied Biosystems; 2001.
- [11] Petersen R.J., Mohammad AA. Clinical and Forensic Applications of Capillary Electrophoresis. USA. Humana Press, New York, 2001, pp. 3-19.